## **208. The Reaction Volume for the Equilibrium between the Lanthanide(II1) Ennea- and Octaaqua Ions as a Diagnostic Aid for their Water-Exchange Mechanisms**

Preliminary Communication

by **Gabor Laurenczy** and **Andre E. Merbach\*** 

Institut de chimie niinerale et analytique, Universite de Lausanne, Place du Chlteau **3,** CH-1005 Lausanne

(17.X.88)

The equilibrium between  $[Ce(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>9</sub>]$ <sup>3+</sup> and  $[Ce(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>8</sub>]$ <sup>3+</sup> has been followed in aqueous solution at 298 K by variable-pressure UV spectroscopy at 295 nm. The derived volume of reaction for the dissociation of this enneaaqua ion is  $\Delta V^0 = +10.9 \text{ cm}^3 \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ . This value, together with the previously determined activation volume,  $dV^{\neq} = -6$  cm<sup>3</sup>·mol<sup>-1</sup>, for H<sub>2</sub>O exchange on [Ln(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>8</sub>]<sup>3+</sup> (Ln = Tb *to* Tm), allows the assignment of an associative interchange *I,* mechanism on these octaaqua ions.

Advantage has recently been taken of available high-field NMR spectrometers (4.7 to 9.3 Tesla) to determine the very fast H,O-exchange kinetics on six paramagnetic heavy lanthanide ions  $[1]$ . By combining measured  $[7O-NMR$  chemical shifts, longitudinal and transverse relaxation rates, it was possible to show that the exchange rate constants  $k^{298}$ decrease regularly with the ionic radius from Tb<sup>3+</sup> (5.0  $\times$  10<sup>8</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) to Yb<sup>3+</sup> (4.1  $\times$  10<sup>7</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). **Along** the same lines as that used successfully to determine the solvent-exchange mechanisms on the first-row transition-metal series hexasolvato ions [2], the effect of pressure on the H<sub>2</sub>O-exchange rates of the heavy lanthanides has been studied. The resulting  $\Delta V^*$ values, close to  $-6$  cm<sup>3</sup> · mol<sup>-1</sup>, indicate an associatively activated exchange mechanism [3]. However, for a more detailed mechanistic assignment, one should know the hydration number of the reacting ions, and to allow the distinction between *I,* and *A* pathways, the  $\Delta V^*_{\text{lim}}$  value for the limiting mechanism.

The coordination of  $Ln<sup>3+</sup>$  ions in aqueous solution is certainly one of the most controversial questions of lanthanide chemistry [4]. Evidence has been accumulated recently for a hydration-number change from 9 to 8 in the middle of the lanthanide series [5]. The neutron-scattering first-order difference technique, pioneered by *Enderby* and *Neilson* [6] was applied to obtain specific information on the metal neighbourhood. Thanks to several technical improvements, it has been possible to work with reasonably dilute solutions (1 *m* or less) ensuring a complete hydration of the species in solution and also to avoid counterion coordination. A coordination number of eight (Dy : 7.9, and Yb: 7.8<sub>4</sub>) was found for the two typical heavy lanthanide ions studied [7], corresponding most likely to dodecahedron or square-antiprism geometry.

The knowledge of the  $\Delta V^*_{\text{lim}}$  value is linked to the availability of the reaction volumes  $\Delta V^0$  for the equilibria between ennea- and octaaqua ions for which no equilibrium studies exist to date. The electronic spectrum of  $Ce(III)$  [8] is simpler and more intense than the



Fig. 1. Pressure effect on the absorption spectra of a 0.0329 **M**  $Ce(CIO_4)$ , and 0.100 **M**  $HClO_4$  aqueous solution at 298 *K.* From top to bottom: **P[MPa]** = 2.2,42.1, 80.5, 127.7, 170.8, and 200.4.

spectra of the other elements in the series  $[9]$ . In the solid state  $[10]$ , the five UV absorption bands of  $[Ce(H, O)<sub>0</sub>]$ <sup>1+</sup> are assigned to 5d  $\leftarrow$  4f transitions. They are due to the five *Kramers* doublets of the excited  ${}^{2}D(5d)$  multiplet split by ligand-field interactions and spin-orbit couplings of the 5d electron in  $[Ce(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>9</sub>]$ <sup>3+</sup>. However, in aqueous solution there is an extra week band at 295 nm ascribed to [Ce(H,0),]3+ [8]. Recently, *Kobuyashi* and coworkers [11] have quantitatively interpreted the temperature dependence of these  $5d \leftarrow 4f$ transitions in terms of *Reaction I.* Their results, obtained between *5* and *55",* give

$$
[Ce(H2O)9]3+ \nightharpoonup [Ce(H2O)8]3+ + H2O \nightharpoonup (1)
$$

 $K^{298} = 0.3$ ,  $AH^0 = +13$  kJ  $\cdot$  mol<sup>-1</sup> and  $AS^0 = +33$  J  $\cdot$  K<sup>-1</sup>  $\cdot$  mol<sup>-1</sup>. We have followed the decrease, with increasing pressure, of the absorption band due to  $[Ce(H, O)]$ <sup>1+</sup> at 295 nm and 298 K *(Fig. 1)*. The molar absorptivity of the octaaqua ion  $\varepsilon_{295}$ , the equilibrium constant *K*, and the reaction volume  $AV^0$  are related by *Eqns.* 2-4, where  $A_{295}$  is the

$$
A_{295} = \varepsilon_{295} \times l \times [[\text{Ce}(H_2\text{O})_8]^{3+}] \tag{2}
$$

$$
K = [[Ce(H2O)8]3+]/(CT - [[Ce(H2O)8]3+])
$$
(3)

$$
\ln K = \ln K_0 - \left(\Delta V^0 P/RT\right) \tag{4}
$$

measured absorbance, *l* the optical pathlength, and  $C<sub>T</sub>$  the total Ce(III) concentration. A multiparameter least-squares fitting procedure was applied to the experimental data, using *Eqns.* 2-4, leading to  $\varepsilon_{295} = 99 \pm 2 \text{ m}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$ ,  $K_0 = 0.21 \pm 0.03$ , and  $AV^0 = +10.9 \pm 0.3$  cm<sup>3</sup> · mol<sup>-1</sup>. The pressure variation of the equilibrium constants is illustrated in *Fig.2.* The absolute partial molar volume of aqua ions in solution, in relation with their coordination number and their ionic radii, can be estimated using a semi-empirical model developed by *Swaddle* [ 121. The volume difference for the two coordinances, corresponding to the dissociation of one water from  $[Ce(H, O)<sub>0</sub>]$ <sup>3+</sup> to form  $[Ce(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>8</sub>]<sup>3+</sup>$ , has been calculated using cerium ionic radii from *Shannon* [13]. This volume is  $+12.8$  cm<sup>3</sup>  $\cdot$  mol<sup>-1</sup> and agrees well with that determined experimentally. Further work is in progress to determine the thermodynamic parameters of the hydration equilibria for heavier lanthanide(II1) ions for a deeper understanding of their aqueous behaviour and to obtain a more detailed picture of their  $H_2O$ -exchange mechanisms.

The activation volume  $\Delta V^*_{\text{lim}}$  for a hypothetical limiting associative H<sub>2</sub>O-exchange reaction on  $[Ce(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>8</sub>]<sup>3+</sup>$  should be close [2] to -11 cm<sup>3</sup> · mol<sup>-1</sup> according to the reaction



Fig. 2. The normalised logarithm of the stability constant K, as a function of pressure for the reaction  $[Ce(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>9</sub>]$ <sup>3+</sup>  $\rightleftarrows$  $[Ce(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>8</sub>]$ <sup>3+</sup> +  $H<sub>2</sub>O$ 

volume measured for *Reaction 1.* The assumption that this reaction volume is similar along the lanthanide series, and the fact that the experimental activation volumes, - <sup>6</sup> cm<sup>3</sup> · mol<sup>-1</sup>, for the H<sub>2</sub>O exchange on the ions  $[Tb(H_2O)_8]^{3+}$  to  $[Tm(H_2O)_8]^{3+}$  are clearly smaller, leads to the conclusion that the H,O-exchange mechanisms on these octaaqua ions occurs most probably *via* a concerted *I,* mechanism. The likely geometry for the ennea-coordinated transition state is a trigonal tricapped prism, since many hydrated salts of the lanthanides exhibit this structure in the solid state *[5].* 

**We** thank Prof. C. *K. Jsrgensen* for helpful discussions. Financial support from the *Swiss National Science Foundation* **is** gratefully acknowledged.

**Experimental.** – Hydrated Ce(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> was synthesized from Ce<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [3]. The spectrophotometric study was accomplished on a 0.0329 M Ce(ClO<sub>4</sub>), and 0.100 M HClO<sub>4</sub> aq. soln., with a *Perkin-Elmer Lambda 5* spectrophotometer equipped with a high-pressure optical cell (path-length: 2.1 cm) described in [14].

## **REFERENCES**

- [I] C. Cossy, L. Helm, A. E. Merbach, *Inorg. Chem.* **1988,27,** 1973.
- [2] A.E. Merbach, *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1987,59, 161.**
- [3] C. Cossy, L. Helm, A. E. Merbach, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1987,139, 147.**
- **[4] S.** F. Lincoln, *Adv. Inorg. Bioinorg. Mechanisms* **1986,4,** 217.
- [5] C. Cossy, A.E. Merbach, *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1988,60,** 1785.
- **[6]** J. E. Enderby, *G.* **W.** Neilson, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **1981,44,** 593.
- [7] C. Cossy, **A.** C. Barnes, J. **E.** Enderby, **A. E.** Merbach, submitted for publication.
- [8] C.K. Jørgensen, J.S. Brinen, *Mol. Phys.* **1963**, 6, 229.
- [9] W.T. Carnall, in 'Handbook on the Physics and Chemistry of Rare Earths', Eds. K.A. Gschneider and L. Eyring, North-Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam, 1979, Vol. 4, Chapt. 24.
- [lo] K. Okada, *Y.* Kaizu, H. Kobayashi, K. Tanaka, F. Marumo, *Mol. Phys.* **1985,54,** 1293.
- [ll] **K.** Miyakawa, *Y.* Kaizu, H. Kobayashi, *J. Chem.* Soc., *Furaday Trans. I 1988,84,* 1517.
- [12] T.W. Swaddle, *Adv. Inorg. Bioinorg. Mechanisms* **1983,2,** 95.
- [I31 R.D. Shannon, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A* **1976, 32,** 751.
- 114) D.T. Richens, **Y.** Ducommun, A.E. Merbach, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987,109,603.**